

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,720	AKIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Terryence Chapman. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 April 2006

Time: 10:20 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed deleting the last sentence of the abstract via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney authorized entry of this proposed Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)